counsel, Timothy R. Butler, Esq., it was determined that Plaintiff would institute suit against Defendant predicated upon California's Fair Employment and Housing Act, specifically disability discrimination.

4

5

FOLLOW-UP

6 3. Throughout the next year, that is, through the end of July 2007, almost 7

imperceptibly, I noticed Plaintiff was forgetting some things we had earlier discussed. It was minimal, but noticeable. Over time, the memory loss became more evident.

9 10

8

4. Today, I have found that Plaintiff's short-term memory loss is significant. At this point I am rarely certain that Plaintiff will recall what I've explained. I "test" his memory by asking him to forward information to his wife, Carmen. Subsequently I ask Carmen if Plaintiff has related

12

11

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

to her what I've asked him to repeat. Most often Carmen indicates to the contrary.

RECOMMENDATION

5. I am concerned Plaintiff doesn't recall everything that occurs in the present. To me this is a problem because I rely upon my client's knowledge of events transpired to build on that knowledge into the future. I do not think Plaintiff is recalling all the information I need him to remember in order to work with me to the extent necessary for the best interests of his case. I recommend a guardian ad litem be appointed, and I certainly recommend it be his wife, Carmen, who is exceptionally competent in every respect.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States the foregoing is true and correct and that this affidavit was executed on this 7th day of April, 2008, at San Diego, California.

> s/ Thomas R. Gill, Esq. THOMAS R. GILL, ESQ. THE GILL GROUP, A.P.C. Attorney for Plaintiff Email: trgill@gillgroupapc.com